

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/912,601	07/26/2001	Jean-Luc Renaud-Bezot	CELA: 083	7203	
75	90 07/09/2002				
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. SUITE 210 1421 PRINCE STREET			EXAMINER		
			CHAMBERS, TROY		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314-2805			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3641	3641	
			DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002	DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/912,601	RENAUD-BEZOT ET AL.				
è	Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
-	-	Troy Chambers	3641				
	The MAILING DATE f this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspendence address						
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	-						
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) 🗌	•—	is action is non-final.	recognition as to the morits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-8</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/912,601 Page 2

Art Unit: 3641

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1 and 3-8 in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Claim 2 has been withdrawn from consideration. The traversal is on the ground(s) that "all of the species are sufficiently related". This is not found persuasive because the drawings and the specification make clear that the applicant has disclosed several different embodiments of the invention. In light of the burdensome search and consideration that would be required to prosecute all embodiments, restriction is deemed proper.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5131329 issued to Lips et al. ("Lips"). Lips disclose an explosive ammunition projectile, comprising an outer case 2, 3; and, a tungsten or steel (col. 3, Il. 58-66) inner shell 4 having square structured zones 7. It can be seen in Fig. 1 that the outer surface of casing 2 is deviating inwardly to form a nose cone.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/912,601

Art Unit: 3641

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lips in view of U. S. Patent No. 5337673 issued to Koontz et al. ("Koontz"). Lips disclose an explosive ammunition projectile as described above. But, Lips does not disclose a fragmentation producing netting embedded in the case as claimed in applicant's claims 3 and 5. However, Koontz discloses such a feature. Specifically, Koontz discloses a fragmentation warhead 10 including a fragmentation netting 14 embedded into a warhead case 12 (col. 2, II. 1-9). At the time of the invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide the explosive ammunition projectile of Lips with the fragmentation netting of Koontz. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to provide a novel and inexpensive method of producing controlled fragmentation warheads (col. 2, II. 10-13).
- 6. With respect to claim 4, it is well known in the art to construct the outer casing of a projectile of plastic (as in US Patent No. 5979332) in place of metal. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to make the projectile lightweight.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,601 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents cited in the accompanying form PTO-892 are cited as of interest to show similar fragmenting ammunition devices.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-7687.

CHARLES T. JORDAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY LENTER 3600